Example: Milwaukee County, Wisconsin, EBDM Proposal Scoring Tool

Criteria		Scale	Weight of Overall Score
Integral •	ity How closely does this proposal tie into the vision statement (stewardship/reducing recidivism/collaboration/harm reduction, etc.)?	 1 = whether it's a good idea or not, it's just not a strong example of EBDM principles at work 10 = epitome of EBDM principles at work, and especially useful in addressing the issues the criminal justice system in Milwaukee County needs to tackle 	14.285%
Predicta •	bility To what extent does existing research suggest the proposal will be successful?	 1 = in essence, the proposal is a hypothesis that hasn't been tested anywhere else we know of 10 = solid research shows this has been a winner in similar circumstances in other jurisdictions 	14.285%
Novelty •	How innovative is the proposal?	 1 = it may be somewhat embarrassing to have to explain why we aren't doing this already 10 = someday someone will call this "the Milwaukee" 	14.285%
Support •	ability To what extent do we have baseline data about the issue the proposal addresses, and to what extent do we have data collection systems in place that will help us track progress and success (or lack of it)?	 1 = considerable effort will be needed to collect data about existing practices and the results of the project as we implement it 10 = current, easily accessible data about our practices already exists and data collection systems are already in place that we can use to track progress 	14.285%
Impress •	iveness How big a hit will this be if it's successful?	1 = barely worth the effort 10 = candidates for public office will jockey to take credit for this idea	14.285%
Scorabil •	ity How measurable are the projected results? Can the results be evaluated in terms of our overall scorecard?	 1 = the aspirations of the proposal are not quantified and the proposal makes no suggestion of how they might be 10 = the proposal contains a specific, quantified estimate of costs savings, reduction in recidivism, harm reduction, etc., and a firm methodology for conducting future measurements of actual performance against the estimate 	14.285%
Feasibility		1 = it is unlikely that necessary budgetary resources can be obtained, or necessary	14.285%

 Do we have the financial and infrastructure capacity to implement the proposal immediately or must additional resources be sought? 	infrastructure developed, or both 10 = no additional budgetary resources or infrastructure are needed to implement the proposal	
--	--	--